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#### Abstract

Certain polynomials invariant under a permutation group $G$ (so called $G$-polynomials) play an important role in several computational methods of Galois theory. Since their practical value depends on the degree, it is important to know $G$-polynomials of smallest possible degree. A reasonable technique to find such $G$-polynomials is presented, and for certain classes of groups an explicit description is obtained. The list of $G$-polynomials given by Stauduhar in vol. 27 of this journal is thereby enlarged and improved. As an application of $G$-polynomials, three important resolvents of quintic and sextic algebraic equations are computed and a parametric family of sextic equations with given Galois group is exhibited.


Introduction. Let $K$ be a field and $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ indeterminates. Let $S_{m}$ be the group of all permutations of $1, \ldots, m$, which acts on the polynomial ring $K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$ by $s \circ X_{i}=X_{s(i)}, s \in S_{m}, i=1, \ldots, m$. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $S_{m}$. Several problems in field theory-e.g., the determination of the Galois group or the computation of a Galois resolvent of a given algebraic equation-can be solved explicitly, if one can do the following (see [7, p. 276], [16], [11], [2], [3] and references in the last paper):
I. Find a polynomial $P$ belonging to $G$ (also called a $G$-polynomial), i.e., a polynomial $P$ in $K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$ whose stabilizer $\left\{s \in S_{m} \mid s \circ P=P\right\}$ is equal to $G$.
II. Let $Z$ be an additional indeterminate and $\sigma, \ldots, \sigma_{m}$ the elementary symmetric functions defined by $\left(Z-X_{1}\right) \cdots\left(Z-X_{m}\right)=Z^{m}+\sigma_{1} Z^{m-1}+\cdots+\sigma_{m}$. Let $R \in$ $K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right][Z]$ be the polynomial of $Z$-degree $\left[S_{m}: G\right]$ whose zeros in $K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$ are the elements of $\left\{s \circ P \mid s \in S_{m}\right\}$. Find the unique polynomial $R^{+} \in K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right][Z]$ such that $R=R^{+}\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{m}, Z\right)$.

Suppose that Problems I and II have been solved for $G \subseteq S_{m}$. If $f=Z^{m}+$ $a_{1} Z^{m-1}+\cdots+a_{m} \in K[Z]$ is a polynomial with coefficients in $K$ and splitting field $K(f)$, then $R^{+}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, Z\right)$ is a certain resolvent of $f$, i.e., its splitting field is a subfield of $K(f)$. The prime factor decomposition of $R^{+}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, Z\right)$ in $K[Z]$, for example, gives insight into the relation between $G$ and the Galois group $G(f)=\operatorname{Gal}(K(f) / K)$ of $f$. By this method one can determine the group $G(f)$ (loc. cit.).

Up to now, actual solutions of Problem II exist only in very few cases: If $G$ is the alternating group $A_{m}$, take $R^{+}=Z^{2}-D$, where $D$ is the discriminant of $Z^{m}+$ $X_{1} Z^{m-1}+\cdots+X_{m}$. For subgroups $G$ of $S_{m}, m \leqslant 4$, see [10, p. 72 ff]. If $m=5$ and
$G$ is a maximal solvable transitive subgroup of $S_{5}$, a polynomial $R^{+}$could be derived from a general resolvent given by Cayley [1] in the way described in [18, p. 674].

Note that for $K=\mathbf{Q}$ one can evade the explicit computation of $R^{+}$, because $P$ and a given $f \in \mathbf{Q}[Z]$ can be supposed to have integral coefficients. They allow us to obtain a numerical approximation of $R^{+}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, Z\right) \in \mathbf{Z}[Z]$, and thus $R^{+}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, Z\right)$ itself (see [16]). However, for several reasons it is desirable to know $R^{+}$itself: The method becomes applicable to arbitrary fields $K$, the computation of $R^{+}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}, Z\right)$ is considerably simplified, and sometimes $R^{+}$is useful in the construction of parametric families of polynomials with a given Galois group (an example for this is Proposition 6).
In Section 3 we consider three especially important groups $G_{n}, n \in\{20,72,120\}$, where the subscript denotes the order of the group. Let $R_{n}^{+}$be the polynomial $R^{+}$ corresponding to $G_{n}$. We describe the computation of $R_{n}^{*}=R_{n}^{+}\left(0, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{m}, Z\right)$ and give the result in Table 2. Since the coefficient $a_{1}$ of a given $f$ can be easily removed, there is no loss of generality in taking $R_{n}^{*}$ instead of $R_{n}^{+}$. Let us outline the import of the polynomials $R_{n}^{*}$.

The group $G_{20}$ is the maximal solvable transitive subgroup of $S_{5}$ mentioned above (it is unique up to conjugation). Suppose that $f=Z^{5}+a_{2} Z^{3}+a_{3} Z^{2}+a_{4} Z+a_{5}$ $\in K[Z]$ is irreducible. If $R_{20}^{*}\left(a_{2}, \ldots, a_{5}, Z\right)$ has no multiple roots (for infinite ground fields $K$ this can always be attained, cf. [3]), then $f$ is solvable if and only if $R_{20}^{*}\left(a_{2}, \ldots, a_{5}, Z\right)$ has a zero in $K$. One can decide the latter property in many fields $K$, so we may say that $R_{20}^{*}$ decides the solvability of irreducible quintic equations. The groups $G_{72}$ and $G_{120}$ are maximal transitive subgroups of $S_{6}$. In [3] it has been shown that $R_{120}^{*}$ (whose $Z$-degree is 6 ), together with some discriminants, allows us to find the Galois group of an irreducible sextic polynomial in most cases. The remaining cases are settled by $R_{72}^{*}$ (of $Z$-degree 10 ).

In principle, it is easy to solve Problem I, if the group $G$ in question is sufficiently well known (cf. [7, p. 54], [16, Theorem 1]). But in many applications the $G$-polynomial is a crucial point. Most of all, its degree strongly influences further calculations and can make them impracticable. For example, the only $G_{120}$-polynomial known in the literature is of degree 10 (it goes back to the paper [14] of Serret in 1850, cf. also [16]; in [18, p. 679] Weber wrongly claims the existence of such a polynomial of degree 4). The computation of the corresponding polynomial $R_{120}^{*}$ requires the solution of a system of linear equations in 758 unknowns. This is hard to do for a computer, chiefly for reasons of memory capacity. However, the lowest possible degree of a $G_{120}$-polynomial $P$ is 6 . Our polynomial $R_{120}^{*}$ is based on a sextic $P$; the number of unknowns is thereby reduced to 177 , a problem we could manage much better (the memory capacity needed amounts to $5 \%$ of the degree 10 case).

Stauduhar [16] gives a useful list of $G$-polynomials of low degrees for most of the transitive subgroups of $S_{m}, m \leqslant 7$, which he has partially collected from the literature. The groups omitted are not of interest from his point of view. But like his $G_{120}$-polynomial, his $G_{72}$-polynomial is not of lowest possible degree, and two other polynomials (cf. the remark in Section 2) in his list do not belong to the group they should (however, they fulfil their purpose within the scope of [16]). Most of his $G$-polynomials seem to be ad hoc constructions.

The above discussion will show that it is desirable to get some insight into the constitution of G-polynomials. This problem is the subject of the largest part of the present paper. It dates back to C. Jordan and contemporaries. Following their ideas, we give a methodical framework for the treatment of this question (Section 1). We thereby obtain a practicable algorithm to find the lowest possible degree of a $G$-polynomial, as well as $G$-polynomials of this degree. A refined version of this procedure has been used to establish Table 1 (Section 2), a supplement to the list of [16]. Combined with recent theorems on permutation groups, our method yields an explicit description of all types of $G$-polynomials (and the degrees they can take) for the class of groups $G \subseteq S_{m}$ such that each larger group is either $S_{m}$ or $A_{m}$ (Section 2, Theorem 1). Furthermore, the possible types of lowest degree are given for the solvable transitive subgroups of $S_{m}, m$ prime (Theorem 2).

1. Invariants, Essential Sets, and a Problem of Jordan. For the time being, it suffices to assume that $K$ is a commutative ring with identity $1(\neq 0)$. We continue to use the notations of the Introduction. We write $K[X]=K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]$. A partition of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ is a tuple $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r}\right)$ of sets $T_{j} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that $\dot{U}_{j=1}^{r} T_{j}=\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $\left|T_{1}\right| \geqslant \cdots \geqslant\left|T_{r}\right| \geqslant 1$ ("ن'?' denotes the disjoint union, "||" the number of elements of the corresponding set). The tuple ( $\left.\left|T_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|T_{r}\right|\right)$ is called the type of $T$; more generally, a tuple $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right)$ of integers with $t_{1} \geqslant \cdots \geqslant t_{r} \geqslant 1$ and $t_{1}+\cdots+t_{r}=m$ is called a type for $m$. By $\mathscr{T}$ we denote the set of all partitions of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ and by $\mathscr{T}(t)$ the set of partitions of type $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right)$.

Now fix a monomial $Q=X_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots X_{m}^{n_{m}}$ in $K[X]$. For $k \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$ (= set of nonnegative integers) we put $T(k)=\left\{j \in\{1, \ldots, m\} \mid n_{j}=k\right\}$. Starting with the largest set $T(k)$, we arrange all nonempty sets $T(k), k \in \mathbf{N}_{0}$, descending with their cardinality; whenever in this process we arrive at two or more sets $T(k)$ of equal size, we order them ascending with the size of $k$. We thereby obtain a partition $T$ of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ uniquely determined by $Q$. (It will be seen later [Proposition 4 and corollary] why $T$ is arranged in this way.) For example, if $m=6$ and $Q=X_{1}^{3} X_{4}^{2} X_{5}^{2} X_{6}, T$ is $(\{2,3\},\{4,5\},\{6\},\{1\})$. Moreover, the assignment $Q \mapsto T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r}\right)$ yields a unique tuple $i=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{r}$, which is defined by the property

$$
Q=\left(\prod_{j \in T_{1}} X_{j}\right)^{i_{1}} \cdots\left(\prod_{j \in T_{r}} X_{j}\right)^{i_{r}}
$$

For the right side of this equation we write $T^{i}$. Note that $i$ is in the set $I_{T}=$ $\left\{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \in \mathbf{N}_{0}^{r} \mid i_{j}\right.$ all distinct, $i_{j}<i_{j+1}$ if $\left.\left|T_{j}\right|=\left|T_{j+1}\right|\right\}$. We obtain

Proposition 1. $K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right]=\oplus_{T \in \mathscr{F}}\left(\oplus_{i \in I_{T}} K T^{i}\right)$.
As usual, $\oplus$ denotes the direct sum of $K$-modules. Observe that the set $I_{T}$ only depends on the type of $T$. We call $I_{T}$ the set of exponents for $T$.

In the sequel let $G$ be a fixed subgroup of $S_{m}$. The group $S_{m}$ acts in a natural way on the set $\mathscr{T}$ of partitions of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$; namely, for $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r}\right) \in \mathscr{T}$ one defines $s \circ T=\left(s\left(T_{1}\right), \ldots, s\left(T_{r}\right)\right), s \in S_{m}$. By $\mathscr{T} / G$ we denote the set of orbits $\{G \circ T \mid T \in \mathscr{T}\}$ of $G$ in $\mathscr{T}$, and by $\mathscr{T} / / G$ a system of representatives of these orbits (i.e., a subset $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{T}$ which contains exactly one element from each orbit). We fix
$\mathscr{T} / / G$ in what follows. Let $T \in \mathscr{T}$ and $i \in I_{T}$. Then $N_{G}\left(T^{i}\right):=\Sigma\left(T^{\prime i} \mid T^{\prime} \in G \circ T\right)$ is called the reduced norm of $T^{i}$ under $G$. It is an element of the $G$-invariant ring ${ }^{G} K[X]=\left\{Q \in K[X] \mid s{ }^{\circ} Q=Q\right.$ for all $\left.s \in G\right\} .{ }^{G} K[X]$ contains all $G$-polynomials, and Proposition 1 implies

Proposition 2. ${ }^{G} K[X]=\oplus_{T \in \mathscr{T} / / G}\left(\oplus_{i \in I_{T}} K N_{G}\left(T^{i}\right)\right)$.
Let $\mathscr{U}$ be a subset of $\mathscr{T} / / G$. We consider $\underline{P}(\mathscr{U})=\left\{\sum_{T \in \mathscr{U}} \Sigma_{i \in I_{T}} a_{T, i} N_{G}\left(T^{l}\right) \mid\right.$ for each $T \in \mathscr{U}$ there is an $i \in I_{T}$ such that $\left.a_{T, i} \neq 0\right\} \subseteq{ }^{G} K[X]$. By Proposition 2,

$$
{ }^{G} K[X]=\dot{U}(\underline{P}(\mathscr{U}) \mid \mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{T} / / G) .
$$

Thus we have divided ${ }^{G} K[X]$ into a finite number of subsets, which we shall investigate more closely. Consider the action of $S_{m}$ on the subsets $\mathscr{U}$ of $\mathscr{T}$, defined by $s \circ \mathscr{U}=\{s \circ T \mid T \in \mathscr{U}\}, s \in S_{m}$. Let $\operatorname{Stab}(\mathscr{U})$ be the stabilizer of $\mathscr{U}$ in $S_{m}$, $\operatorname{Stab}(\mathscr{U})=\left\{s \in S_{m} \mid s \circ T \in \mathscr{U}\right.$ for all $\left.T \in \mathscr{U}\right\}$. Of particular interest are the stabilizers $\operatorname{Stab}(G \circ T)$ of the orbits $G \circ T$ of $G$ (obviously, they contain $G$ ). For $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{T}$ we define an exponent distribution $\left(J_{T}\right)_{T \in \mathscr{U}}$ as a family of nonempty finite subsets $J_{T} \subseteq I_{T}, T \in \mathscr{U}$. If $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{T} / / G$ and $P \in \underline{P}(\mathscr{U})$, we write $P=$ $\Sigma_{T \in \mathscr{U}} \Sigma_{i \in J_{T}} a_{T, i} N_{G}\left(T^{i}\right)$, with $J_{T}$ such that $a_{T, i} \neq 0$ for all $i \in J_{T}$. The family $\left(J_{T}\right)_{T \in \mathscr{q}}$ is called the exponent distribution of $P$.

Proposition 3. Let $\mathscr{U}$ be a subset of $\mathscr{T} / / G$, and let $P \in \underline{P}(\mathscr{U})$ have the above shape. Put $G(\mathscr{U})=\bigcap_{T \in \mathscr{U}} \operatorname{Stab}(G \circ T)$. Then $P$ belongs to a group $H$ that contains $G(\mathscr{U})$. H is equal to $G(\mathscr{U})$, provided there are no partitions $T \neq T^{\prime}$ in $\mathscr{U}$ of the same type with $J_{T}=J_{T^{\prime}}$ and $a_{T, i}=a_{T^{\prime}, i}$ for all $i \in J_{T}$. In particular, if $K$ has infinitely many elements, $\underline{P}(\mathscr{U})$ always contains $G(\mathscr{U})$-polynomials.

The proof is based on Propositions 1, 2 and is left to the reader. A set $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{T} / / G$ will be called essential if $G(\mathscr{U})=G$. It follows from Proposition 3 that $G$-polynomials in $K[X]$ can be found only in sets $\underline{P}(\mathscr{U})$ for essential $\mathscr{U}$ 's. If $K$ is infinite, such sets $\underline{P}(\mathscr{U})$ actually contain $G$-polynomials; one can even prescribe their exponent distribution. If $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{T} / / G$ is essential, every set $\mathscr{V}$ with $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{V} \subseteq \mathscr{T} / / G$ is essential, too. Therefore we get a good overview over all $G$-polynomials, if we can solve

Problem III. Determine all minimal essential sets $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{T} / / G$.
In his "Traite" C. Jordan proved the existence of essential sets consisting of one partition (cf. Section 2). He raised several problems concerning $G$-polynomials. One of them can be reformulated in the following way (cf. [7, p. 54]): Determine all sets $\underline{P}(\{T\})$ with $\{T\} \subseteq \mathscr{T} / / G$ essential (such a $\underline{P}(\{T\})$ he calls "family of elementary functions belonging to $G$ "). This is an important special case of Problem III. It is clear that one can solve Problem III for each individual permutation group $G$ in finitely many steps. In most cases, however, this is not an easy task. Theorem 1 will give the solution for a large class of groups that admit a relatively simple answer.

Proposition 4. Let $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r}\right)$ be a partition of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Among all polynomials $T^{i}, i \in I_{T}$, there is exactly one of smallest degree, namely $T^{(0,1, \ldots, r-1)}$; its degree is $w(T)=\left|T_{2}\right|+2\left|T_{3}\right|+\cdots+(r-1)\left|T_{r}\right|$.

The proof is omitted. We call $i_{0}(T)=(0,1, \ldots, r-1) \in I_{T}$ the minimal exponent of $T$, and $w(T)$ the weight of $T$. Both minimal exponent and weight depend only on the type of $T$. For $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{T}$ we define the weight of $\mathscr{U}$ as $w(\mathscr{U})=\max \{w(T) \mid T \in \mathscr{U}\}$
if $\mathscr{U} \neq \varnothing$, and $w(\varnothing)=-\infty$. One obtains the important
Corollary. Let $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{T} / / G$. Then $\operatorname{deg}(P) \geqslant w(\mathscr{U})$ for all $P \in \underline{P}(\mathscr{U})$. The degree $w(\mathscr{U})$ is taken by each polynomial in $\underline{P}(\mathscr{U})$ whose exponent distribution is the family $\left(i_{0}(T)\right)_{T \in \mathscr{\mathscr { L }}}$ of minimal exponents.

Let $K$ be infinite. In view of Propositions 3, 4 and the corollary, all $G$-polynomials of smallest possible degree can be formed if we know $d=\min \{w(\mathscr{U}) \mid \mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{T} / / G$, $\mathscr{U}$ essential $\}$ and all minimal essential sets of weight $d$ (call them $\mathscr{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathscr{V}_{k}$ ). In the sequel we describe the main features of an algorithm to find $d$ and one set $\mathscr{V}_{l}$, $l \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$. It may happen, however, that the (always existing) $G$-polynomials in $\underline{P}\left(\mathscr{V}_{l}\right)$ are not yet best possible for applications. By means of some modifications, which are not difficult but tedious, the algorithm produces a subsystem of $\mathscr{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathscr{V}_{k}$ that meets most practical requirements (for a short discussion, see explanations to Table 1 and Section 3). Table 1 is based on this enlarged version.

Algorithm. Compute all types for the number $m$ and order them in some way, ascending with their weight. Let $w_{1}<w_{2}<\cdots$ be the set of all weights occurring. Construct in every step a group $G_{j}$ and a set $\mathscr{U}_{j}$ in the following way:

Put $G_{0}=S_{m}, \mathscr{U}_{0} \neq \varnothing$. Stop if $G=S_{m}$; otherwise, put $j=1$.
(*) Take all types $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right)$ of weight $w(t)=w_{j}$. Establish for these $t$ a system of representatives $\mathscr{T}(t) / / G$ of the orbits of $G$ in $\mathscr{T}(t)$ (note that $|\mathscr{T}(t)|=$ $m!/\left(t_{1}!\cdots t_{r}!\right)$ ). Put $\mathscr{T}_{j}=U\left(\mathscr{T}(t) / / G \mid w(t)=w_{j}\right)$. Compute $G\left(\mathscr{T}_{j}\right)$ (notation of Proposition 3), and put $G_{j}=G\left(\mathscr{T}_{j}\right) \cap G_{j-1}$. If $G_{j}=G_{j-1}$, put $\mathscr{U}_{j}=\mathscr{U}_{j-1}$, increase $j$ by 1 and go to (*); otherwise, minimize $\mathscr{T}_{j}$, i.e., replace $\mathscr{T}_{j}$ by a minimal subset $\mathscr{T}_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ of $\mathscr{T}_{j}$ with $G\left(\mathscr{T}_{j}^{\prime}\right)=G\left(\mathscr{T}_{j}\right)$. If $G_{j-1} \supseteq G\left(\mathscr{T}_{j}\right)$ put $\mathscr{U}_{j}=\mathscr{T}_{j}$; otherwise, put $\mathscr{U}_{j}=$ $\mathscr{U}_{j-1} \cup \mathscr{T}_{j}$ and minimize $\mathscr{U}_{j}$. Stop when $G_{j}=G$; otherwise, increase $j$ by 1 and go to (*). The final set $\mathscr{U}_{j}$ has the desired property.
2. Explicit Description of $G$-Polynomials for Certain Classes of Groups. We adopt the notations above ( $K$ a commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$ ). Let $G \subseteq S_{m}$ be a subgroup, $T \in \mathscr{T} / / G$. In [7, p. 54], Jordan has shown that $\{T\}$ is essential if the type of $T$ is $(1, \ldots, 1)$. Moreover, he showed

Proposition 5. Let $G$ be a $k$-fold transitive proper subgroup of $S_{m}, T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r}\right)$ $\in \mathscr{T} / / G$. Suppose that $\{T\}$ is essential. Then $\left|T_{1}\right| \leqslant m-(k+1)$.

Indeed, it is easy to see that otherwise $G \circ T=S_{m} \circ T$, i.e., $\operatorname{Stab}(G \circ T)=S_{m}$. The ( $m-2$ )-fold transitivity of $A_{m}$ and the corollary of Proposition 4 yield

Corollary. A subset $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{T} / / A_{m}$ is essential if and only if $\mathscr{U}$ contains a $T$ of type $(1, \ldots, 1)$. In particular, the minimal degree $d$ of an $A_{m}$-polynomial is $m(m-1) / 2$ (which is assumed by $\Pi_{j<k}\left(X_{j}-X_{k}\right)$, the root of the discriminant of $Z^{m}+\sigma_{1} Z^{m-1}$ $\left.+\cdots+\sigma_{m}\right)$.

In what follows we say that a subgroup of $S_{m}$ is $A_{m}$-maximal if it is $\neq S_{m}, A_{m}$ and maximal in the set $\left\{G \subseteq S_{m} \mid G \neq S_{m}, A_{m}\right\}$. The $A_{m}$-maximal groups play an important role in the computation of Galois groups, cf. [16]. For most of them, Problem III is solved simply by the converse of Proposition 5, namely

Theorem 1. Let $G \subseteq S_{m}$ be a $k$-fold, but not $(k+1)$-fold transitive $A_{m}$-maximal subgroup of $S_{m}(k=0$, if $G$ is intransitive $)$.

1. A subset $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{T} / / G$ is essential if and only if it contains a partition $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r}\right)$ with
(a) $\left|T_{1}\right| \leqslant m-(k+1)$, if $G$ is none of the groups $P \Gamma L(2,8)\left(\subseteq S_{9}\right), P \Gamma L(2,32)$ ( $\subseteq S_{33}$ ),
(b) $\left|T_{1}\right| \leqslant m-(k+2)$, or $\left|T_{1}\right|=m-(k+1)$ and $\left|T_{2}\right|=1$, if $G=P \Gamma L(2,8)$ ( $m=9, k=3$ ),
(c) $\left|T_{1}\right| \leqslant m-(k+2)$, or $\left|T_{1}\right|=m-(k+1)$ and $\left|T_{2}\right| \leqslant 2$, if $G=P \Gamma L(2,32)$ ( $m=33, k=3$ ).
2. The smallest degree $d$ of a $G$-polynomial is $d=k+1$, except in the cases

$$
G= \begin{cases}A G L(1,5) \subseteq S_{5}, & d=4(k=2) \\ P G L(2,5) \subseteq S_{6}, & d=6(k=3) \\ P \Gamma L(2,8) \subseteq S_{9}, & d=6(k=3) \\ P \Gamma L(2,32) \subseteq S_{33}, & d=5(k=3)\end{cases}
$$

Remark. The notation of groups in Theorem 1 is that of [8] (cf. also [6] and the introductory remarks to Theorem 2). The groups $\operatorname{AGL}(1,5)$ and $P G L(2,5)$ are the groups $G_{20}$ and $G_{120}$ of [16] and the Introduction. All groups are uniquely determined up to conjugation only. They are actually $A_{m}$-maximal, as one can see from the table in [15] and Corollary 4.4 in [13]. It is very likely that each 6 -fold transitive subgroup of $S_{m}$ contains $A_{m}$ (cf. [17], [5, p. 55]). Then the number $d$ would be $\leqslant 6$, for all $A_{m}$-maximal groups $G$.

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof of assertion 1 is based on some results which have been used to prove Theorem 4 in [3]. We note that $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{T} / / G$ is essential if and only if there is a $T=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{r}\right) \in \mathscr{U}$ such that $G \circ T$ is not the whole set $\mathscr{T}(t)$, where $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right)$ is the type of $T$. The necessity of this condition is clear, since $\operatorname{Stab}(\mathscr{T}(t))-S_{m}$. The sufficiency follows from $A_{m} \circ T=S_{m} \circ T=\mathscr{T}(t)$ for $t_{1} \geqslant 2$, resp. $\left|A_{m} \circ T\right|=\left|A_{m}\right|>|G|=|G \circ T|$ for $t_{1}=1$, and the $A_{m}$-maximality of $G$.

Now let $T \in \mathscr{T}$ be of type $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right)$ with $t_{1} \leqslant m-(k+1)$. Suppose that $G \circ T=\mathscr{T}(t)$. We distinguish two cases:
(i) $t_{1} \geqslant m / 2$. Since $m-t_{1} \leqslant t_{1},\left(t_{1}, m-t_{1}\right)$ is a type for $m$ and, by assumption, $G$ acts transitively on $\mathscr{T}\left(t_{1}, m-t_{1}\right)$. A theorem of Livingstone, Wagner, and Kantor [8, Theorem 1] implies that $G$ is $\left(m-t_{1}\right)$-fold transitive or $m=9, G=$ $P \Gamma L(2,8), m=33, G=P \Gamma L(2,32)$. Since $m-t_{1} \geqslant k+1$, only the latter two cases are possible.
(ii) $t_{1}<m / 2$. There is a subset $M \subseteq\{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that $t_{2}^{\prime}:=\Sigma\left(t_{j} \mid j \in M\right)$ fulfils $m / 3 \leqslant t_{2}^{\prime} \leqslant m / 2$. This is elementary (cf. Lemma 4 in [3]). Since $G$ is transitive on $\mathscr{T}\left(m-t_{2}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, Theorem 1 in [8] yields $k \geqslant m / 3$. However, $A_{m}$-maximal groups with $k \geqslant m / 3$ can occur only for $m \leqslant 12$ (this follows, e.g., from Lemmas 1, 2 in [3] and the table in [15]), namely: $\operatorname{AGL}(1,5), \operatorname{PGL}(2,5), \operatorname{PGL}(2,7)$ $\left(\subseteq S_{8}\right)$; the holomorph of the group $(\mathbf{Z} / 2 \mathbf{Z})^{3}\left(\subseteq S_{8}\right), P \Gamma L(2,8)$, and the Mathieu groups $M_{11}\left(\subseteq S_{11}\right), M_{12}\left(\subseteq S_{12}\right)$.

Thus we have proved assertion 1 up to the exceptional groups in (i) and (ii), which require separate considerations. In most cases one can work with divisibility properties, as we exemplify for the group $\operatorname{PGL}(2,5)$. By (ii) we have to treat the types $(2,2,2),(2,2,1,1),(2,1,1,1,1)$, and $(1,1,1,1,1,1)$. It suffices to show that $G$ cannot be transitive on $\mathscr{T}(2,2,2)$. If this were not true, $|\mathscr{T}(2,2,2)|=6!/(2!)^{3}=90$ would
divide $|G|=120$, a contradiction. Observe also that $P \Gamma L(2,8)$ (resp. $P \Gamma L(2,32)$ ) is a regular permutation group on $\mathscr{T}(5,2,1,1)$ (resp. $\mathscr{T}(29,3,1))$.

As to assertion 2 , consider the types $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}\right)$ with $t_{1} \leqslant m-(k+1)$. Among them, $(m-(k+1), k+1)$ has smallest weight and is unique with this property, except when $k+1>m-(k+1)$, i.e., $k>m / 2-1$. This can happen only for $m=5,6$. The exceptional cases are easy.

Let $p$ be a prime number and $\mathbf{F}_{p}$ the field with $p$ elements. Consider $A G L(1, p)=$ $\left\{l_{a, b} \mid a \in \mathbf{F}_{p} \backslash\{0\}, b \in \mathbf{F}_{p}\right\}$, the group of maps $l_{a, b}: \mathbf{F}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{p}: c \mapsto a c+b$. A transitive solvable subgroup $G \subseteq S_{p}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $A G L(1, p)$. In particular, $|G|$ divides $p(p-1)$. Using theorems of P. M. Neumann [12], [13], we give a rather complete description of minimal essential sets of smallest weight for these groups $G$.

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a transitive solvable subgroup of $S_{p}$, p prime. Let $\mathscr{T} / / G=$ $\cup(\mathscr{T}(t) / / G \mid t$ a type for $p)$ be a system of representatives of $\mathscr{T} / G$ and $d=$ $\min \{w(\mathscr{U}) \mid \mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{T} / / G, \mathscr{U}$ essential $\}$.

1. Let $|G|$ be even. The minimal essential sets of weight $d$ are all subsets $\mathscr{U} \subseteq$ $\mathscr{T}(t) / / G$ with $|\mathscr{U}|=1$, where
(a) $d=2$ and $t=(p-2,2)$ if $|G|<p(p-1)$,
(b) $d=3$ and $t=(p-3,3)$ if $|G|=p(p-1)$ and $p \geqslant 7$,
(c) $d=4$ and $t=(2,2,1)$ if $|G|=p(p-1)$ and $p=5$,
(d) $d=-\infty$ if $p=2,3$.
2. If $G$ is odd, $d$ is equal to 3. There exist the following categories of essential sets of weight 3:
(a) All subsets $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{T}(p-2,1,1) / / G$ with $|\mathscr{U}|=1$.
(b) If $p \geqslant 7$, the set $\mathscr{T}(p-3,3) / / G$.
(c) If $p \geqslant 7$ and $|G|<p(p-1) / 2$, certain sets $\left\{T, T^{\prime}\right\}$ with $T \in \mathscr{T}(p-2,2) / / G$, $T^{\prime} \in \mathscr{T}(p-3,3) / / G$.
Every minimal essential set is contained in a set (a), (b) or (c).
Remark. If $|G|$ is odd and $p \geqslant 7$, there are always nonempty subsets $\mathscr{U} \subseteq$ $\mathscr{T}(p-3,3) / / G$ that are not essential. If, in addition, $p \equiv 1 \bmod 3$, there always exist minimal essential sets of category 2(c). Both assertions become clear from the proof. Recall that a good knowledge of all minimal essential sets of weight $d$ can be of advantage in applications (cf. Section 3).

Proof of Theorem 2. We identify $S_{p}$ with the symmetric group of $\mathbf{F}_{p}$ in such a way that $G \subseteq A G L(1, p)=\left\{l_{a, b} \mid a \in \mathbf{F}_{p} \backslash\{0\}, b \in \mathbf{F}_{p}\right\}$. The types of weight $\leqslant 3$ are: ( $p$ ) of weight $0,(p-1,1)$ of weight $1,(p-2,2)$ of weight 2 , and $(p-3,3)$ and ( $p-2,1,1$ ) of weight 3 .

If $T$ is a partition of weight $\leqslant 1, G \circ T=S_{p} \circ T$. Thus $d$ is $\leqslant 1$ only if $G=S_{p}$. This is case $1(\mathrm{~d})$. Let $T \in \mathscr{T}(p-2,2)$ and $H \subseteq S_{p}$ be a group containing $G$. If $H$ is not solvable, it is doubly transitive (by a theorem of Burnside), hence $H \circ T=$ $\mathscr{T}(p-2,2)$. For solvable $H,|H \circ T|=|H|$ if $|H|$ is odd, and $|H \circ T|=|H| / 2$, otherwise. Therefore, if $|G|$ is even and $<p(p-1)$, we have always $|H \circ T|>|G \circ T|$ for $H \supseteq G, H \neq G$. Hence 1(a) follows.

Next let $|G|=p(p-1)$, i.e., $G=A G L(1, p)$. The case $1(\mathrm{c})$ being contained in Theorem 1, we may assume $p \geqslant 7$. Because of the transitivity of $G$ on $\mathscr{T}(p-2,2)$ and $\mathscr{T}(p-1,1,1), d$ must be $\geqslant 3$, and a minimal essential set of weight 3 is in
$\mathscr{T}(p-3,3)$ (if there is any). Let $T \in \mathscr{T}(p-3,3)$. Every group $H \supseteq G, H \neq G$, is triply transitive, by [12]; thus $H \circ T=\mathscr{T}(p-3,3)$. On the other hand, $G \circ T \neq$ $\mathscr{T}(p-3,3)$, for otherwise, $|\mathscr{T}(p-3,3)|$ would divide $p(p-1)$. This proves $1(\mathrm{~b})$.

Now suppose that $|G|$ is odd. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the group generated by $G$ and $l_{-1,0} \in A G L(1, p)\left(G^{\prime}\right.$ is the unique subgroup of $A G L(1, p)$ of order $\left.2|G|\right)$. For $T \in \mathscr{T}(p-2,2)$ the arguments in the proof of 1(a) yield

$$
\operatorname{Stab}(G \circ T)= \begin{cases}S_{p} & \text { if }|G|=p(p-1) / 2  \tag{*}\\ G^{\prime} & \text { if }|G|<p(p-1) / 2\end{cases}
$$

Therefore $d \geqslant 3$. For $T \in \mathscr{T}(p-2,1,1)$ and $H \supseteq G$ we obtain $|H \circ T|=|H|$ if $H$ is solvable, and $|H \circ T|=p(p-1)$, otherwise. This implies 2(a).

In the sequel, let $p \geqslant 7$. Let $u, v, w$ be distinct elements of $\mathbf{F}_{p}$ and $T_{u v w}=$ $\left(\mathbf{F}_{p} \backslash\{u, v, w\},\{u, v, w\}\right) \in \mathscr{T}(p-3,3)$. By $G(u, v, w)$ we denote the stabilizer of $T_{u v w}$ in $A G L(1, p)$, i.e., $G(u, v, w)=\left\{s \in A G L(1, p) \mid s \circ T_{u v w}=T_{u v w}\right\}$. Some calculations show that

$$
|G(u, v, w)|= \begin{cases}3 & \text { if } p \equiv 1 \bmod 3 \text { and } w \in\{(u+v \pm \sqrt{-3}(u-v)) / 2\} \\ 2 & \text { if } w \in\{(u+v) / 2,2 u-v, 2 v-u\} \\ 1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $\sqrt{-3}$ is in $\mathbf{F}_{p}$. Let $|G|<p(p-1) / 2$. $\operatorname{By}(*), \operatorname{Stab}(G \circ T)=G^{\prime}$ for each $T \in \mathscr{T}(p-2,2)$; moreover, $\left|G^{\prime} \circ T_{u v w}\right|>\left|G \circ T_{u v w}\right|$ if and only if $|G(u, v, w)| \neq 2$. Hence a subset $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{T}(p-2,2) / / G \cup \mathscr{T}(p-3,3) / / G$ with at least one element in $\mathscr{T}(p-2,2) / / G$ is essential if and only if it contains a $T_{u v w}$ with $|G(u, v, w)| \neq 2$. This shows 2(c).

Finally, we exhibit an essential subset of $\mathscr{T}(p-3,3) / / G$. If $H \supseteq G$ and $H$ is not solvable, it has at most $1+p(p-1) /(2|G|)$ orbits on $\mathscr{T}(p-3,3)$. This follows easily from Theorem 5.2, (ii) in [13]. Thus there is a $T_{H} \in \mathscr{T}(p-3,3) / / G$ with
(**) $\quad\left|H \circ T_{H}\right| \geqslant 2|G||\mathscr{T}(p-3,3)| /(2|G|+p(p-1)) \geqslant|G|(p-2) / 6$.
Let $p>7$ and $G_{0}=\cap\left(\operatorname{Stab}\left(G \circ T_{H}\right) \mid H \supseteq G, H\right.$ not solvable). If $G_{0}$ is not solvable, $G_{0}$ stabilizes $G \circ T_{G_{0}}$, so $G_{0} \circ T_{G_{0}}=G \circ T_{G_{0}}$. Therefore, $\left|G_{0} \circ T_{G_{0}}\right| \leqslant|G|$, a contradiction to (**). We obtain $G \subseteq G_{0} \subseteq A G L(1, p)$. Now choose $T_{u v w} \in$ $\mathscr{T}(p-3,3) / / G$ such that $|G(u, v, w)|=1$. Then $G$ is the largest subgroup of $A G L(1, p)$ that stabilizes $G \circ T_{u v w}$, which shows that $\left\{T_{H} \mid H \supseteq G, H\right.$ not solvable $\}$ $\cup\left\{T_{u v w}\right\}$ is essential.

We mention briefly the result for $p=7$. If $|G|=7,|\mathscr{T}(4,3) / / G|=5$, and each minimal essential subset of $\mathscr{T}(4,3) / / G$ has two elements; there are exactly six such sets. If $|G|=21,|\mathscr{T}(4,3) / / G|=3$. The minimal essential subsets $\mathscr{U} \subseteq \mathscr{T}(4,3) / / G$ are those with two elements. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Next we consider the transitive groups $G \subseteq S_{m}$ for $m \leqslant 7$. They are the subject of several tables ([16], [15], [11]). With the exception of most subgroups of $S_{6}$, all of them are covered by Theorems 1 or 2 . So we know the essential sets of smallest weight explicitly, and it is easy to establish the corresponding $G$-polynomials. As an example, take $G=G_{20}=A G L(1,5) \subseteq S_{5}$, with generators (12345), (1243). This group is doubly transitive, and by Theorem 1 the minimal essential sets of smallest weight $d=4$ have the shape $\{T\}, T \in \mathscr{T}(2,2,1)$. Each $T \in \mathscr{T}(2,2,1)$ is stabilized by at most 1 or 2 elements of $G$, hence $|G \circ T|=20$ or 10 . The latter case holds for
$T=(\{1,4\},\{2,3\},\{5\})$; we obtain the $G$-polynomial

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{G}\left(T^{i_{0}(T)}\right)= & X_{1}^{2}\left(X_{2} X_{5}+X_{3} X_{4}\right)+X_{2}^{2}\left(X_{1} X_{3}+X_{4} X_{5}\right)+X_{3}^{2}\left(X_{1} X_{5}+X_{2} X_{4}\right) \\
& +X_{4}^{2}\left(X_{1} X_{2}+X_{3} X_{5}\right)+X_{5}^{2}\left(X_{1} X_{4}+X_{2} X_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This polynomial has been found by Serret [14] in 1850. We have used it to compute $R_{20}^{*}$ (Section 3).

For reasons discussed in the Introduction, we give a new table for $m=6$. Since it is a supplement to [16, Table 1], we adopt the notation of the article cited. This means that the groups in our list are equal to those of [16] in the strict set-theoretical sense. This is important, because conjugate groups lead to permuted $G$-polynomials. Generators of the groups can be found in [16].

Table 1
Essential sets and G-polynomials for groups of degree six

| Nr. | name | structure | imp. | $d$ | essent. set | $G$-polynomial |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $G_{120}$ | $\operatorname{PGL}(2,5)$ | - | 6 | \{ $12\|35\| 46\}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 6\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 6 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 4\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 3 \\ 2 & 6 \\ 4 & 5\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \\ 5 & 6\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 \\ 4 & 6\end{array}\right)$ |
| 2. | $G_{72}$ | $S_{3} \backslash S_{2}$ | $A$ | 2 | \{1234\|56\} | $12+23+31+45+56+64$ |
| 3. | $G_{60}$ | $\operatorname{PSL}(2,5)$ | - | 3 | \{123\|456\} | $\begin{gathered} 124+126+134+135+156 \\ +235+236+245+346+456 \end{gathered}$ |
| 4. | $G_{48}$ | $S_{2} \backslash S_{3}$ | B | 2 | \{1234\|56\} | $12+34+56$ |
| 5. | $G_{36}^{1}$ | $\left(S_{3} \backslash S_{2}\right) \cap A_{6}$ | $A$ | 7 | $\{14\|25\| 3 \mid 6\}$ | $\frac{123}{465}+\frac{123}{546}+\frac{123}{654}+\frac{456}{123}+\frac{456}{231}+\frac{456}{312}$ |
| 6. | $G_{36}^{2}$ | $\simeq S_{3} \times S_{3}$ | $A$ | 6 | \{14\|25|36\} | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 4 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 6\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 5 \\ 2 & 6 \\ 3 & 4\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 6 \\ 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 5\end{array}\right)$ |
| 7. | $G_{24}^{1}$ | $\simeq S_{4}$ | $B$ | 6 | $\{135\|2\| 4 \mid 6\}$ | $\begin{gathered} {[153]+[246]+[136]+[254]} \\ +[145]+[263]+[164]+[235] \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\{13\|25\| 46\}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 4 \\ 2 & 6 \\ 3 & 5\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 5 \\ 2 & 4 \\ 3 & 6\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 \\ 4 & 6\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 6 \\ 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5\end{array}\right)$ |
| 8. | $G_{24}^{2}$ | $\cong A_{4} \times S_{2}$ | B | 3 | \{123\|456\} | $125+126+134+234+356+456$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | \{1235\|4|6\} | $\begin{gathered} 13^{2}+35^{2}+51^{2}+14^{2}+46^{2}+61^{2} \\ +24^{2}+45^{2}+52^{2}+23^{2}+36^{2}+62^{2} \end{gathered}$ |
| 9. | $G_{24}^{3}$ | $\left(S_{2} \backslash S_{3}\right) \cap A_{6}$ | B | 3 | \{135\|246\} | $136+235+246+145$ |
| 10. | $G_{18}$ | $A_{3} \backslash S_{2}$ | $A$ | 3 | \{1234\|5|6\} | $12^{2}+23^{2}+31^{2}+45^{2}+56^{2}+64^{2}$ |
| 11. | $G_{12}^{1}$ | dihedral | $A, C$ | 2 | \{2346\|15\} | $15+53+34+42+26+61$ |
| 12. | $G_{12}^{2}$ | $\cong A_{4}$ | B | 3 | $\begin{gathered} \{135 \mid 246 \\ 123 \mid 456\} \end{gathered}$ | $a P\left(G_{24}^{3}\right)+b P\left(G_{24}^{2}\right)$ |
| 13. | $G_{6}^{1}$ | $\cong S_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} A, D \\ E, F \end{gathered}$ | 3 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1234\|5\| 6, \\ 1246 \mid 35\} \end{array}$ | $a P\left(G_{18}\right)+b(14+26+35)$ |
| 14. | $G_{6}^{2}$ | cyclic | $A, C$ | 3 | \{2346\|1|5\} | $15^{2}+53^{2}+34^{2}+42^{2}+26^{2}+61^{2}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\{\{123\},\{456\}\}, B=\{\{12\},\{34\},\{56\}\}, C=\{\{14\},\{25\},\{36\}\} \\
D & =\{\{14\},\{26\},\{35\}\}, E=\{\{16\},\{25\},\{34\}\}, F=\{\{15\},\{24\},\{36\}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Explanations to Table 1. 1. In Column 3 " $\$ " denotes the wreath-product of groups (cf. [6, p. 94]). Column 4 gives the imprimitive systems of the group; e.g., group nr. 11 permutes the sets in $A$ and $C$. Column 5 contains the smallest degree $d$ of a polynomial belonging to the group, and Column 6 gives minimal essential sets of weight $d$. The notation of these sets has been reduced. So $\{135|246,123| 456\}$ stands for the set consisting of the partitions ( $\{1,3,5\},\{2,4,6\}$ ) and ( $\{1,2,3\}$, $\{4,5,6\}$ ). Column 7 gives the $G$-polynomials derived from the essential sets in Column 6. Most essential sets consist of only one element $T$; then the corresponding $G$-polynomial is simply $N_{G}\left(T^{i_{0}(T)}\right)$. Only for groups nr. 12,13 has the set the shape $\left\{T, T^{\prime}\right\}$, and the $G$-polynomial is $a N_{G}\left(T^{i_{0}(T)}\right)+b N_{G}\left(T^{i_{0}\left(T^{\prime}\right)}\right)$, with $a, b \in K \backslash\{0\}$ distinct; the reduced norms coincide with the polynomials belonging to the groups in brackets. All polynomials are written in an abbreviated way. Instead of $X_{i}^{j}$ we write $i^{j}$, so that $i j^{2}+k l^{2}+\cdots$ denotes the polynomial $X_{i} X_{j}^{2}+X_{k} X_{l}^{2}+\cdots$. The expression

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i & r \\
j & s \\
k & t
\end{array}\right)
$$

(groups nr. 1, 6, 7) is defined as $i^{2} r^{2}(j s+k t)+j^{2} s^{2}(i r+k t)+k^{2} t^{2}(i r+j s)$. The symbol ${ }_{i s t}^{i j k}\left(\mathrm{nr}\right.$. 5) stands for $i^{3} r^{2}(j s+k t)+j^{3} s^{2}(i r+k t)+k^{2} t^{2}(i r+j s)$. Finally, $[i j k]=i j^{2} k^{3}+j k^{2} i^{3}+k i^{2} j^{3}$ (nr. 7).
2. The sets of Column 6 have been selected according to the following principles: Two minimal essential sets of weight $d$ are considered equivalent if the types occurring in them are the same. We take only one representative from each equivalence class, in such a way that the number of monomials in the corresponding $G$-polynomial is the smallest possible. However, an equivalence class is omitted altogether if there is another one whose types form a strict subset of the types of the class in question. This is the case only for groups nr. 12 and 14 . Up to these reductions, our list of minimal essential sets of smallest weight is intended to be complete.

Remark. The polynomials of [16, Table 1] professedly belonging to $G_{12}^{1}$ and $G_{6}^{1}$, actually are $G_{48}$-polynomials, where the groups $G_{48}$ are defined by their imprimitive systems $C$ (in case of $G_{12}^{1}$ ) and $D$ (in case of $G_{6}^{1}$ ).
3. Computation of Resolvents for Degrees Five and Six. Let the notations of the Introduction hold, in particular, let $K$ be a field. The polynomial $R \in$ $K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, Z\right]$ connected with the grouip $G$ has the shape $R=\Pi(Z-s \circ P), s$ running through a system of representatives of $S_{m} / G$, the left cosets modulo $G$ (cf. Introduction). The coefficients $b_{i}$ of $R=Z^{l}+b_{1} Z^{l-1}+\cdots+b_{l}$ are symmetric in $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$, so $R^{+}=Z^{l}+b_{1}^{+} Z^{l-1}+\cdots+b_{l}^{+} \in K[X, Z]$ exists with $R=$ $R^{+}\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{m}, Z\right)$. The coefficients $b_{i}^{+}=\sum c_{n_{1} \cdots n_{m}}^{(i)} X_{1}^{n_{1}} \cdots X_{m}^{n_{m}}$ can be determined by interpolation, as described, e.g., in [4]. For each $i=1, \ldots, l$ this method leads to a system of linear equations in the unknowns $c_{n_{1} \ldots n_{m} \text {. }}^{(i)}$. The number of unknowns depends on the knowledge of finite sets $M_{i} \subseteq \mathbf{N}_{0}^{m}$ such that each exponent $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}\right)$ with nonvanishing $c_{n_{1} \ldots n_{m}}^{(i)}$ is in $M_{i}$. In order to get a feasible procedure, one has to make $M_{i}$ small. A first step is to work with $R^{*}=$ $R^{+}\left(0, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{m}, Z\right)$ instead of $R^{+}$. In the case of $R_{20}^{+}$, for example, the set $M_{6}$ (computed by the method below) has 173 elements, whereas the respective set for $R_{20}^{*}$ has cardinality 35 . The degrees of $b_{i}$ in each set of variables $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{k}\right\}$,
$k \leqslant m$, determine a system of linear inequalities for the tuples in $M_{i}$. The full system (which seems not to be generally known, though one or two inequalities are given in several algebra books) is due to [9]. It is very advantageous, since the sets $M_{i}$ thereby found are, as a rule, rather close to the sets of actually occurring exponents. For instance, in the case of $R_{120}^{*}$ one gets $\left|M_{i}\right|=2,9,23,51,99,177, i=1, \ldots, 6$, and 2, $9,23,45,86,146$ actual exponents in $b_{1}^{*}, \ldots, b_{6}^{*}$, respectively. In the last analysis, the size of $M_{i}$ depends on the types constituting the $G$-polynomial $P$, because they determine the various degrees of $b_{i}$. It is advisable to select candidates for $P$ according to the principles described in the explanations to Table 1. Sometimes the very best $P$ can be found only by trial.

Table 2 contains the polynomials $R_{n}^{*}$ for the groups $G=G_{n}, n=20,72,120$. They have been computed by a mod $p$-version of the method just described. The underlying $G_{n}$-polynomials are those of Section 2. The information given for each coefficient $b_{i}^{*}$ consists of the list of exponents ( $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}$ ) (written without commas, since all $n_{j}$ are $\leqslant 9$ ) and the list of numerical coefficients $c_{n_{1} \ldots n_{m}}^{(i)}$, in the respective order. The $R_{n}^{*}$ are defined over arbitrary fields $K$. Obviously, it is tedious to copy the whole polynomial $R_{120}^{*}$, say, from Table 2 by hand. However, polynomials in $K[Z]$ of interest often have two or more vanishing coefficients, and then only a small part of $R^{*}$ is needed, which can be found very quickly.

We give an illustration both of this fact and the import of $R_{120}^{*}$. Let $f=Z^{6}+a Z$ $+b \in K[Z]$. By Table $2, R[a, b]:=R_{120}^{+}(0,0,0,0, a, b, Z)$ has the shape

$$
\begin{aligned}
R[a, b]= & Z^{6}+18 b Z^{5}-135 b^{2} Z^{4}-3240 b^{3} Z^{3} \\
& +\left(93312 b^{5}+3125 a^{6}\right) Z-186624 b^{6}+40625 a^{6} b
\end{aligned}
$$

This polynomial can be written as

$$
R[a, b]=(Z-3 b)^{2}(Z-12 b)(Z+12 b)^{3}+5^{5} a^{6}(Z+13 b)
$$

we obtain an analogue of a theorem of Weber on solvable quintic trinomials [18, p. 676], namely

Proposition 6. Let $K$ be a field of characteristic $>5, f=Z^{6}+a Z+b \in K[Z]$ irreducible, $a \neq 0$. Consider the Galois group $G(f)$ as a subgroup of $S_{6}$, according to its action on the roots of $f$. Then $G(f)$ is contained in a group $P G L(2,5)\left(\cong S_{5}\right)$ if and only if there exist $u, v \in K$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=-(u-3 v)^{2}(u-12 v)(u+12 v)^{3} /\left(5^{5}(u+13 v)\right)  \tag{*}\\
& b=a v
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $G(f) \subseteq P G L(2,5)$. Then $R[a, b]$ has a zero in $K$ (cf. [16], [2]), say $x$. Put $x=a u, b=a v, u, v \in K . R[a, b](x)=0$ implies $u \neq-1-13 v$, and (*) follows as in [18]. Conversely, let $a, b$ be defined by ( $*$ ), $a \neq 0$, and $f$ irreducible. Then $a u$ is a root of $R[a, b]$. One must show that $R[a, b]$ has no multiple zero $y$ in any extension of $K$. Suppose $y$ exists. Since

$$
0=\frac{d R[a, b]}{d Z}(y)=6(y-3 b)(y+12 b)^{2}\left(y^{2}-6 b y-36 b^{2}\right)+5^{5} a^{6}
$$

we can eliminate $5^{5} a^{6}$ in the equation $R[a, b](y)=0$, and obtain

$$
0=-5(y-3 b)(y+12 b)^{2} g(y)
$$

with $g=Z^{3}+9 b Z^{2}-108 b^{2} Z-648 b^{3}$. Obviously, $g(y)=0$, and reduction of $d R[a, b] / d Z$ modulo $g$ yields $0=-6^{6} b^{5}+5^{5} a^{6}$. This means $a=6^{6} v^{5} / 5^{5}, b=$ $6^{6} v^{6} / 5^{5}$, and $f$ has the (double) root $-6 v / 5$ in $K$, a contradiction.

Remarks. 1. For $K=\mathbf{Q}$ the polynomials $f$ defined by (*) in general have $G(f)=P G L(2,5)$.
2. The author has computed some other polynomials $R^{*}$ for subgroups of $S_{5}$ and $S_{6}$, among them one belonging to the intransitive group $S_{3} \subseteq S_{5}$. This $R^{*}$ yields a Galois resolvent (i.e., the minimal polynomial of a generator of the splitting field of $f$ ) for each $f$ with $G(f)=A G L(1,5)$. It should be noted that also for degrees higher than six such computations are feasible, at least when two or more variables $X_{i}$ in $R^{+}$are specialized to zero.
3. All polynomials $R_{n}^{*}$ given in Table 2 have been tested in various relevant numerical examples. In particular, the (a priori known) Galois groups of several polynomials in $\mathbf{Q}[Z]$ have been verified in this way. Therefore, the author is convinced of the accuracy of Table 2.

Table 2
Resolvents belonging to groups of degree six

|  | (00010) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{b}_{2}^{*}$ : | (00101) | (00020) | (02010) | (01200) |  |  |  |
|  | -50 | 40 | -6 | 2 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{b}_{3}^{\star}$ : | (01002) | (00111) | (02101) | (00030) | (02020) | (01210) | (00400) |
|  | -125 | 400 | 15 | -160 | 40 | -21 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{b}_{4}^{*}$ : | $\begin{aligned} & (01012) \\ & (01220) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (00202) \\ & (04020) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (00121) \\ & (00410) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (02111) \\ & (03210) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (01301) \\ & (02400) \end{aligned}$ | (00040) | (02030) |
|  | 500 | 625 | -1400 | 90 | -50 | 400 | -136 |
|  | 76 | 9 | -8 | -6 | 1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{b}_{5}^{*}$ : | (00004) | (01103) | (01022) | (00212) | (03012) | (02202) | (05002) |
|  | (00131) | (02121) | (01311) | (04111) | (00501) | (03301) | (00050) |
|  | (02040) | (01230) | (04030) | (00420) | (03220) | (02410) | (01600) |
|  | 3125 | -625 | 500 | -2750 | -525 | 325 | 108 |
|  | 2400 | -260 | -105 | -117 | 58 | 31 | -512 |
|  | 256 | -76 | -32 | -3 | 51 | -19 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{b}_{6}^{*}$ : | (00014) | (02004) | (01113) | (01032) | (00222) | (03022) | (02212) |
|  | (05012) | (01402) | (04202) | (07002) | (00141) | (02131) | (01321) |
|  | (04121) | (00511) | (03311) | (06111) | (02501) | (05301) | (00060) |
|  | (02050) | (01240) | (04040) | (00430) | (03230) | (06030) | (02420) |
|  | (05220) | (01610) | (00800) |  |  |  |  |
|  | -9375 | 3125 | -1250 | -2000 | 3250 | 1200 | -725 |
|  | -99 | -125 | -150 | -27 | -1600 | -160 | 590 |
|  | 196 | -124 | 12 | 18 | -12 | -4 | 256 |
|  | -192 | -16 | 48 | 17 | -128 | -4 | 65 |
|  | 1 | -13 | 1 |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 (continued)
2. $R_{72}^{*}=Z^{10}+b_{1}^{*} z^{9}+\ldots+b_{10}^{*} \in k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{6}, z\right]$.
$b_{1}^{*}:(010000)$
4
$b_{2}^{*}:(000100)(020000)$
$-6 \quad 6$
$b_{3}^{*}:(000001)(010100)(002000)(030000)$

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
-36 & -26 & 3 & 4
\end{array}
$$

$b_{4}^{*}:(010001)(001010)(000200)(020100)(012000)(040000)$ $\begin{array}{llllll}-324 & 36 & 1 & -42 & 9 & 1\end{array}$
$\mathrm{b}_{5}^{*}:(000101)(020001)(000020)(011010)(010200)(002100)(030100)$

| -114 | -642 | 123 | 120 | 18 | -12 | -30 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{b}_{6}^{*}:(000002)(010101)(002001)(030001)(010020)(001110)(021010)$ (000300) (020200) (012100) (040100) (004000) (032000)

| 129 | -482 | 138 | -640 | 521 | -138 | 148 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 24 | 49 | -40 | -8 | 3 | 3 |  |


| $* *$ | $(010002)$ | $(001011)$ | $(000201)$ | $(020101)$ | $(012001)$ | $(040001)$ | $(000120)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(020020)$ | $(011110)$ | $(003010)$ | $(031010)$ | $(010300)$ | $(002200)$ | $(030200)$ |  |
| $(022100)$ | $(014000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 384 | 342 | -80 | -752 | 366 | -320 | -94 |  |
| 898 | -470 | 36 | 80 | 80 | 2 | 48 |  |
| -44 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{rllllll}\mathrm{b}_{8}^{*}:(000102) & (020002) & (000021) & (011011) & (010201) & (002101) & (030101) \\ (022001) & (050001) & (010120) & (002020) & (030020) & (001210) & (021110) \\ (013010) & (041010) & (000400) & (020300) & (012200) & (040200) & (004100) \\ (032100) & (024000) & & & & \end{array}$

| 120 | 384 | 66 | 852 | -240 | -6 | -512 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 324 | -64 | -246 | 51 | 788 | -24 | -588 |
| 84 | 16 | 16 | 88 | 18 | 16 | -6 |
| -16 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{rlllllll}* * & (000003) & (010102) & (002002) & (030002) & (010021) & (001111) & (021011) \\ (000301) & (020201) & (012101) & (040101) & (004001) & (032001) & (001030) \\ (000220) & (020120) & (012020) & (040020) & (011210) & (003110) & (031110) \\ (023010) & (010400) & (002300) & (030300) & (022200) & (014100) & (006000)\end{array}$

| -64 | 256 | 48 | 128 | 112 | -24 | 704 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -32 | -224 | -8 | -128 | -12 | 96 | 14 |
| 8 | -216 | 86 | 352 | -40 | 6 | -320 |
| 64 | 32 | -8 | 32 | 32 | -14 | 1 |

Table 2 (continued)

| $\mathrm{R}_{72}^{*}$ (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{b}_{10}^{*}$ : | : (010003) | (000202) | (020102) | (012002) | (000121) | (020021) | (011111) |
|  | (031011) | (010301) | (002201) | (030201) | (014001) | (000040) | (011030) |
|  | (010220) | (002120) | (030120) | (022020) | (050020) | (021210) | (013110) |
|  | (041110) | (033010) | (020400) | (012300) | (004200) | (032200) | (024100) |
|  | (016000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -64 | 16 | 128 | 48 | -8 | 48 | -16 |
|  | 192 | -32 | -8 | -64 | -12 | 1 | 12 |
|  | 8 | 2 | -64 | 36 | 64 | -16 | 4 |
|  | -64 | 16 | 16 | -8 | 1 | 16 | -8 |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. R | $R_{120}^{*}=z^{6}$ | $+b_{1}^{*} z^{5}+$ | $\ldots+b_{6}^{*}$ | $\in K\left[x_{1}, \ldots\right.$ | , $\left.X_{6}, \mathrm{z}\right]$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{b}_{1}^{*}$ : | (000001) | (010100) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{b}_{2}^{*}$ : | (000002) | (010101) | (002001) | (030001) | (010020) | (001110) | (021010) |
|  | -135 | 114 | -54 | -8 | -50 | 30 | 2 |
|  | -8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{b}_{3}^{*}$ : | (000003) | (010102) | (002002) | (030002) | (010021) | (001111) | (021011) |
|  | (000301) | (020201) | (012101) | (040101) | (004001) | (032001) | (001030) |
|  | (000220) | (020120) | (012020) | (040020) | (011210) | (003110) | (031110) |
|  | (010400) | (002300) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -3240 | 1440 | -1350 | -304 | -900 | 990 | 126 |
|  | -304 | 232 | -198 | -16 | 27 | 2 | -125 |
|  | 50 | -120 | 15 | 2 | 66 | -9 | 2 |
|  | -16 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{b}_{4}^{*}$ : | (010103) | (002003) | (030003) | (010022) | (001112) | (021012) | (000302) |
|  | (020202) | (012102) | (040102) | (004002) | (032002) | (080002) | (001031) |
|  | (000221) | (020121) | (012021) | (040021) | (011211) | (003111) | (031111) |
|  | (023011) | (051011) | (010401) | (002301) | (030301) | (022e01) | (050201) |
|  | (014101) | (042101) | (020040) | (011130) | (031030) | (010320) | (0022e0) |
|  | (030220) | (022120) | (050120) | (042020) | (001410) | (021310) | (013210) |
|  | (000600) | (020500) | (012400) |  |  |  |  |
|  | -4536 | -1944 | -1224 | 1350 | 3240 | 756 | -1224 |
|  | 4320 | -4752 | -688 | 972 | 234 | 16 | -1125 |
|  | 450 | -3480 | 1485 | 218 | 2484 | -891 | 84 |
|  | -54 | -8 | -688 | 234 | 168 | -144 | -8 |
|  | 27 | 2 | 625 | -875 | -50 | 250 | 225 |
|  | -70 | 45 | 2 | 1 | -120 | 28 | -9 |
|  | 16 | -8 | 2 |  |  |  |  |

Table 2 (continued)
$\mathrm{R}_{120}^{\star}$ (continued)
$\mathrm{b}_{5}^{*}:(000005)(010104)(002004)(030004)(010023)(001113)(021013)$ (000303) (020203) (012103) (040103) (004003) (032003) (050003) (001032) (000222) (020122) (012022) (040022) (011212) (003112) (031112) (023012) (051012) (010402) (002302) (030302) (022202) (050202) (014102) (042102) (070102) (034002) (062002) (000141) (020041) (011131) (003031) (031031) (010321) (002221) (030221) (022121) (014021) (042021) (070021) (001411) (021311) (013211) (041211) (005111) (033111) (061111) (025011) (053011) (000601) (020501) (012401) (004301) (000060) (011050) (010240) (002140) (030140) (022040) (050040) (001330) (021230) (013130) (041130) (005030) (033030) (061030) (000520) (020420) (012320) (040320) (004220) (032220) (024120) (011510) (003410) (031410) (023210) (010700) (002600)

| 93312 | -85536 | 163296 | 16416 | 48600 | -174960 | 33696 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 16416 | 6048 | -43416 | -4224 | 11664 | 864 | -96 |
| 40500 | 37800 | -40320 | 4590 | 7192 | 39096 | -10044 |
| -6144 | 2052 | 104 | -4224 | 864 | 4032 | -2376 |
| -416 | 324 | 312 | 32 | -54 | -8 | -22500 |
| 12750 | -10950 | 2025 | -2770 | -560 | -270 | -2144 |
| 4014 | -918 | -32 | -8 | 552 | 608 | -756 |
| 192 | 162 | -150 | -8 | 27 | 2 | -96 |
| -416 | 312 | -54 | 3125 | -625 | 750 | 375 |
| 850 | 325 | 58 | -350 | -1340 | -180 | -112 |
| 108 | 31 | 2 | 56 | 352 | 574 | 6 |
| -162 | 32 | -9 | -264 | 66 | -8 | 2 |
| 32 | -8 |  |  |  |  |  |


| (000 | (010105) | (002005) | (04010 | (010024) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (000304) | (020204) | (012104) | (040104) | (004004) | (032004) | (060004) |
| (001033) | (000223) | (020123) | (012023) | (040023) | (011213) | (003113) |
| (031113) | (023013) | (051013) | (010403) | (002303) | (030303) | - 0 |
| (050203) | (042103) | (070103) | (034003) | (062003) | (000142) | (020 |
| (011132) | (003032) | (031032) | (010322 | (002222 | (03022 | (022122) |
| (050122) | (014022) | (042022) | (07002 | (0014 | (02131 | 1013 |
| (041212) | (005112) | (033112) | (061112 | (053012 | (000502 | (020 |
| (012402) | (0404.02) | (004302) | (032302) | (060302) | (024202 | (052 |
| (080202) | (072102) | (064002) | (000061) | (011051) | (010241 | (0021 |
| (030141) | (022041) | (050041) | (001331) | (021231) | (013131) | (041131) |
| 005031 | (033031) | (061031) | (000521) | (020421) | (01232 | (0403 |
| (004221 | (032221) | (060221) | (024121) | (052121) | (080121) | (016 |
| (044021) | (072021) | (011511) | (003411) | (031411) | (023311) | < 051 |
| 15 | (043211) | (035111) | (010701) | (002601) | (030501) | <022 |
| (050501) | (042401) | (034301) | (010160) | (002050) | (001250) | (0211 |
| 410 | (000440) | (020340) | (012240) | (040240) | (032140) | (0601 |
| 24040) | (052040) | (080040) | (011430) | (003330) | (031330) | (023 |
| (051230) | (015130) | (043130) | (007030) | (035030) |  |  |
|  | (022420) | (050420) | (014320) | (042320) | (006220) |  |
| 0216 | (01 |  | - | (012700) |  |  |

Table 2 (continued)

| -186624 | 248832 | 1026432 | -29376 | -129600 | -1477440 | 536544 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -29376 | -93744 | -178848 | 19296 | 46656 | -9936 | 144 |
| 432000 | 529200 | -249480 | -165240 | 48408 | 139104 | -7776 |
| -68976 | 17496 | -2544 | 19296 | -9936 | 6912 | -864 |
| -3072 | 2520 | -96 | -432 | 24 | -292500 | 70125 |
| 72900 | 29700 | -11360 | -22080 | -29160 | -7992 | 22572 |
| 3032 | -3564 | 222 | -232 | 6336 | 14784 | -12204 |
| -1312 | 1944 | 324 | 336 | -84 | 144 | -3072 |
| 2520 | 1296 | -432 | -752 | -32 | 108 | 8 |
| 16 | -8 | 1 | 40625 | -20625 | -7750 | -10125 |
| 5550 | 225 | 954 | 11700 | -12020 | 1935 | -3616 |
| 54 | 923 | 108 | -2472 | 1096 | 1962 | -169 |
| -486 | 2262 | -160 | -1215 | -106 | -8 | 162 |
| 36 | 2 | -144 | 36 | -480 | 336 | 176 |
| -54 | -80 | 9 | -96 | 24 | -32 | 8 |
| -32 | 16 | -2 | 3125 | 3125 | -3125 | 625 |
| -125 | 625 | 250 | -125 | 375 | 525 | 38 |
| -150 | -12 | 1 | -200 | 50 | -700 | -185 |
| -36 | 198 | 25 | -27 | -4 | 56 | -14 |
| 152 | 370 | 8 | -210 | -6 | 27 | 1 |
| -144 | 72 | -9 | 16 | -8 | 1 |  |
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